1. Publication Nº <i>INPE-4056-NTE/265</i>	2. Version <i>2nd.</i>	3. Date <i>Nov., 1986</i>	5. Distribution ☐ Internal ☒ External ☐ Restricted
4. Origin <i>DMC</i>			Program <i>A76481</i>
6. Key words - selected by the author(s) <i>OPTIMAL ESTIMATION</i> <i>ORBIT DETERMINATION</i> <i>NAVSTAR - GPS</i>			
7. U.D.C.: <i>521.3:629.7.076.6</i>			
8. Title <i>OPTIMAL ESTIMATION OF LOCAL ORBIT FROM GPS MEASUREMENTS</i>		10. Nº of pages: <i>12</i>	
		11. Last page: <i>11</i>	
		12. Revised by <i>José Jaime da Cruz</i>	
9. Authorship <i>Roberto V. da Fonseca Lopes</i> <i>Hélio Koiti Kuga</i>		13. Authorized by <i>Marco Antonio Raupp</i> <i>Director General</i>	
Responsible author <i>R. V. da F. L.</i>			
14. Abstract/Notes <i>This report presents an optimal solution to the problem of local orbit estimation of an earth satellite from observation of range and range-rate provided by $n \geq 3$ distinct stations. The procedure is especially suitable for processing measurements generated by the Global Positioning System (GPS). In addition to the position and velocity vectors estimation, the error covariance matrices of the estimates are obtained. Also considered is the case of biased measurements and respective modification of the procedure for treating such tendencies. Preliminary results indicate that the algorithm developed is a promising tool for precision real time orbit estimation.</i>			
15. Remarks <i>2nd version revised in 06/Feb/87.</i> <i>This report is being submitted for publication in the Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics.</i>			

Optimal Estimation Of Local Orbit From GPS Measurements

ROBERTO V. F. LOPES* and HÉLIO K. KUGA*

Instituto de Pesquisas Espaciais, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil

Introduction

Up to now local or static solutions for the orbit determination problem have not been available due to the limited number of ground tracking stations. Notwithstanding, this lack of information has usually been overcome by using the knowledge of the orbit dynamics as in the dynamical optimal estimation methods¹ or in the preliminary orbit determination methods². The recent appearance of the NAVSTAR concept of the Global Positioning System (GPS) brought about a fundamental change in the orbit determination problem since a point located on the earth surface is provided with a nearly continuous global world coverage of at least 4 GPS satellites simultaneously^{3,4}. This provides a significant increase of the number of stations visible simultaneously by a target satellite. This fact suggests that the orbit determination methods may now search for statically determined solutions^{5,6} and even for statically overdetermined solutions⁷. This note presents an optimal solution to the problem of local orbit estimation of an earth satellite from observations of range and range-rate provided by $n \geq 3$ distinct stations. The procedure is especially suitable for processing measurements from any redundant number of GPS satellites, unlike the statically determined solutions that select the best configuration to deal with the GDOP (Geometric Dilution Of Precision) phenomenon⁸. Expressions for

*Aerospace Engineers, Dept. of Space Mechanics and Control

the error covariance matrices are given too. Firstly the procedure evolves by assuming unbiased measurements, i.e., the clocks are assumed to be perfectly synchronized. Later, the bias is included with just a few modifications.

Procedure Development

Let $n \geq 3$ be the number of tracking stations (or GPS satellites). Within a known inertial reference frame, the position and velocity vectors of the GPS stations and a target satellite are given respectively by: $R_i, V_i, i=1, \dots, n$; r and v . Each station measures range y_i , and range-rate z_i given by:

$$y_i = | \rho_i | \quad z_i = \rho_i' v_i / y_i \quad (1)$$

where ' indicates vector transposition, ρ_i and v_i are the position and velocity vectors of the satellite with respect to a station i , that is :

$$\rho_i = r - R_i \quad v_i = v - V_i \quad (2)$$

The problem of orbit determination here addressed is that of seeking values for r and v from y_i, z_i, R_i , and V_i referred to the n stations. However, due to uncertainties in y_i, z_i, R_i , and V_i , Eqs. (1) and (2) may only be simultaneously satisfied in an approximate manner. The method proposed here has been inspired by the algorithm QUEST due to Shuster and Oh⁹ for estimating the attitude of satellites. It is based upon minimizing the weighted summation of the squared residues of Eq. (2) separately, subjected to the constraints given by Eq.

(1). The position estimation consists of solving the following problem of optimization :

$$\text{minimize: } L(r, \rho_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum a_i |r - (R_i + \rho_i)|^2 \quad (3)$$

$$\text{subject to: } |\rho_i|^2 = Y_i^2 \quad (4)$$

given Y_i ; R_i ; a_i ; $i = 1, \dots, n \geq 3$, where a_i is a positive weight associated with the measurement taken from the i -th station and satisfying $\sum a_i = 1$. One should point out that this first stage is self contained and so it can be considered per se according to the interest of the application. A further stage of velocity estimation requires the previous knowledge of the vector r determined either from the former stage or by another method. In there the velocity is estimated so as to solve the following optimization problem :

$$\text{minimize: } J(v, v_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum b_i |v - (V_i + v_i)|^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\text{subject to: } \rho_i' v_i = Y_i z_i \quad (6)$$

given z_i ; Y_i ; ρ_i ; V_i ; b_i , $i = 1, \dots, n \geq 3$, where b_i is the positive weight associated with the measurements taken from the i -th station and satisfying $\sum b_i = 1$.

The solution of these two minimization problems by the Lagrange multipliers method is straightforward, and gives⁷:

$$r = \sum a_i (R_i + \rho_i) \quad (7)$$

$$\rho_i = Y_i u_i \quad u_i = (r - R_i) / |r - R_i| \quad (8)$$

$$v = [\sum b_i u_i u_i']^{-1} \sum b_i u_i (u_i' V_i + z_i) \quad (9)$$

Substituting Eq. (7) in Eq. (8), the resulting equation may

theoretically be solved with an arbitrarily high accuracy by the Newton-Raphson method, provided that the vectors u_i are not coplanar; i.e., the matrix $\Lambda(x_i) = \sum x_i u_i u_i'$ must have rank 3 for all positive $x_i, i=1, \dots, n$. Clearly, this condition also guarantees that Eq. (9) has a single solution.

Error covariance on the estimates of r and v can then be evaluated supposing R_i, V_i, y_i and z_i corrupted by unbiased uncorrelated random noises. Applying this model of small errors to Eqs. (7), (8), and (9) and neglecting the effect of position uncertainties on velocity estimation, there result⁷:

$$C_{rr} = \Lambda(a_i)^{-1} \sum a_i^2 u_i u_i' (\sigma_{Ri}^2 + \sigma_{yi}^2) \Lambda(a_i)^{-1} \quad (10)$$

$$C_{vv} = \Lambda(b_i)^{-1} \sum b_i^2 u_i u_i' (\sigma_{Vi}^2 + \sigma_{zi}^2) \Lambda(b_i)^{-1} \quad (11)$$

where $\sigma_{(.)}$ denotes the corresponding standard deviations. If one sets $a_i = \sigma_r^2 / (\sigma_{Ri}^2 + \sigma_{yi}^2)$ and $b_i = \sigma_v^2 / (\sigma_{Vi}^2 + \sigma_{zi}^2)$ these covariance expressions become:

$$C_{rr} = \sigma_r^2 \Lambda(a_i)^{-1} \quad \sigma_r^2 = 1 / \sum (\sigma_{Ri}^2 + \sigma_{yi}^2)^{-1} \quad (12)$$

$$C_{vv} = \sigma_v^2 \Lambda(b_i)^{-1} \quad \sigma_v^2 = 1 / \sum (\sigma_{Vi}^2 + \sigma_{zi}^2)^{-1} \quad (13)$$

The error model also permits one to predict the performance indexes L and J at the solution. It can be shown that the expected values for $2L/\sigma_r^2$ and $2J/\sigma_v^2$ give the degrees of freedom of each problem, viz. $n-3$. Therefore one can check up the validity of the error model by comparing directly these expected values with those computed from Eqs. (3) and (5).

One should remark that the closed form solution for the

position estimation and its error covariance matrix given respectively by Eqs. (7), (8) and (12) agree numerically with the results of the linearized least square approach¹⁰.

The procedure presented so far applies to the orbit determination problem with all the times involved exactly synchronized. However, if the target satellite clock is not perfectly synchronized or less accurate than the GPS clock, introducing an additional error source on range measurements, the basic idea of the proposed procedure still applies. One has only to express the old inputs y_i (range measurements) as:

$$y_i = y_{pi} + \Delta y \quad (14)$$

where y_{pi} are the new inputs (pseudorange measurements) and Δy is the bias due to time uncertainty, which is to be estimated together with the orbit parameters. The optimal estimation is accomplished by minimizing a modified performance index:

$$L^*(r, \rho_i, \Delta y) = L(r, \rho_i) + \frac{1}{2} a^* \Delta y^2 \quad (15)$$

subjected to Constraints (4) and (14), with the positive weights a^* and a_i obeying $a^* + \sum a_i = 1$. The estimate of bias is provided by one additional equation to the original ones:

$$\Delta y = \sum a_i [|r - R_i| - y_{pi}] \quad (16)$$

As in the synchronized case, one can set $a_i = \sigma_r^2 / (\sigma_{Ri}^2 + \sigma_{yi}^2)$, $a^* = \sigma_r^2 / \sigma_{\Delta y}^2$, where $\sigma_{\Delta y}$ is the range of uncertainty of bias with $\sigma_r^2 = [\sigma_{\Delta y}^{-2} + \sum (\sigma_{Ri}^2 + \sigma_{yi}^2)^{-1}]^{-1}$. So the new error covariances are now given by:

$$C_{rr} = \sigma_r^2 [\Lambda(a_i) - UU']^{-1} \quad U = \sum a_i u_i \quad (17)$$

$$C_{\Delta y \Delta y} = \sigma_r^2 + U' C_{rr} U \quad (18)$$

and both the degrees of freedom on the position and velocity estimation equations remain unchanged.

Results

The algorithm developed was implemented aiming at testing mainly the procedure's preliminary performance. Some results are presented in order to indicate the potentiality of the method. In a first example, a configuration of 5 visible GPS satellites was considered to estimate the orbit of the Landsat-D satellite during 120 seconds. Table 1 summarizes the results. The aim of this first test was to illustrate typical expected results for a realistic situation. It was verified that the performance is worst when the stations are close to an alignment configuration (u_i coplanar).

A second test was performed by using n fictitious stations randomly located over a celestial hemisphere visible to a circular equatorial orbit satellite below them. The purpose of this second test was to verify the average impact on the orbit estimate accuracy when the number of stations is increased. The results are presented in Figure 1. One should point out that the processing time did not present meaningful variations with the number of stations throughout the tests carried out, and the Newton-Raphson method converged in a single step to a high enough accuracy level.

Conclusions

A procedure for optimal orbit determination by processing single-time GPS measurements was presented. The error covariance matrices were obtained accounting not only for the measurement errors, but also for the uncertainties in the positions and velocities of the GPS satellites. Systematic errors due to nonsynchronized clocks were considered too. A very simple way of checking the statistical consistency of the error model was shown. The accuracy improves with the quantity of visible stations, and auxiliary algorithms to deal with the GDOP are not required. Preliminary results obtained through digital simulation indicate that the procedure is a promising tool for precision real time orbit determination. Nevertheless, one hopes that its practical implementation will certainly give rise to future studies in a more detailed manner than it was possible in this introductory theoretical analysis.

References

- ¹Tapley, B.D. "Statistical orbit determination theory," Recent Advances in Dynamical Astronomy, Dordrecht, Reidel, 1973, pp. 396-425.
- ²Escobal, P.R. Methods of orbit determination, New York, N.Y., John Wiley, 1965, chaps. 6-9.
- ³Parkinson, B.W. and Gilbert, S.W. "NAVSTAR: Global Positioning System-Ten years later," Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 71, Oct. 1983, pp. 1177-1186.

⁴Stansell Jr.,T.A. "Civil GPS from a future perspective," Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol.71, Oct. 1983, pp. 1187-1198.

⁵Noe,P.S. and Myers,K.A. "A position fixing algorithm for the low-cost GPS receiver," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, Vol. AES-12, March 1976, pp. 295-297.

⁶Sturza,M.A. "GPS navigation using three satellites and a precise clock," Paper published in Navigation, Institute of Navigation, Vol. 2, 1984, pp. 122-132.

⁷Lopes,R.V.F. and Kuga,H.K. "Fast optimal orbit estimation from GPS measurements," INPE, Sao Jose dos Campos, Brazil, INPE-4016-NTE/263, Oct. 1986.

⁸Fang,B.T. "Geometric dilution of precision in Global Positioning System navigation," Journal of Guidance and Control, Vol.4, Jan.-Feb. 1981, pp. 92-94.

⁹Shuster,M.D. and Oh,S.D. "Three axis attitude determination from vector observations," Journal of Guidance and Control, Vol.4, Jan.-Feb. 1981, pp. 70-77.

¹⁰Foy,W.H. "Position-location solutions by Taylor-series estimation," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, Vol. AES-12, March 1976, pp. 187-194.

Table 1 - RMS of true errors (ϵ) and estimated standard deviation (σ):
Numerical results for the Landsat orbit determination

		Unbiased Case				Biased Case			
n	Stations	ϵ_r (m)	σ_r (m)	ϵ_v (m/s)	σ_v (m/s)	ϵ_r (m)	σ_r (m)	ϵ_v (m/s)	σ_v (m/s)
CASE A	5 1,5,6,7,8	36.7	36.8	.278	.276	58.9	54.2	.277	.276
	4 1,5,6,7	38.1	39.1	.293	.293	73.8	74.1	.294	.293
	4 1,5,6,8	40.7	41.2	.292	.309	52.3	56.0	.291	.309
	3 1,5,8	49.1	46.8	.355	.351	61.8	60.8	.355	.351
	3 ^a 6,7,8	108.5	101.4	.733	.760	203.	198.	.746	.760
CASE B	5 1,5,6,7,8	282.	276.	.697	.690	448.	407.	.698	.690
	4 1,5,6,7	292.	293.	.744	.733	554.	556.	.754	.733
	4 1,5,6,8	314.	309.	.744	.772	401.	419.	.741	.772
	3 1,5,8	373.	351.	.897	.876	470.	456.	.900	.876
	3 ^a 6,7,8	819.	760.	1.861	1.900	1539.	1482.	2.080	1.900
Case A: $\sigma_y = 10$ m; $\sigma_R = 16$ m; $\sigma_v = \sigma_z = 0.1$ m/s									
Case B: $\sigma_y = \sigma_R = 100$ m; $\sigma_v = \sigma_z = 0.25$ m/s									

^aclose to alignment configuration

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 - Accuracy vs. number of stations